

Enlargement of Planck System of Absolute Units

Manuel Castaños*
 Dept. Física
 ETS Arquitectura
 UPM Madrid
 Juan de Herrera 4
 Spain

J.A. Belinchón†
 Dpt. Física
 ETS Arquitectura
 UPM Madrid
 Juan de Herrera 4
 Spain

Abstract

Conventional Planck system of absolute units ($G, c, \hbar, k_B$) is enlarged by the addition of the so-called, dynamical constant Γ , that relates force to the rate of change of linear momentum. A further enlargement allows its applications to electromagnetism by introducing the permittivity of the vacuum ϵ_0 , as a new absolute unit. Consequently there are six reference dimension (M, L, T, F, Θ, I) where F and I stand for dimensions of force and electric current respectively. In such an "enlarged Planck system" (E.P.S) we equalize its unit of charge to the elementary one, e , with the result that Γ coincide with the fine-structure constant, α . In the proposed E.P.S. the constants $G, c, \hbar, k_B, \epsilon_0, \mu_0, \Gamma, e, \alpha$ acquire very simple numerical values, (most of them are equal to the unity), although in our view they retain their physical dimensions. A calculation of the main quantities in the E.P.S. and some considerations that may concern homogeneity and isotropy conditions in the very early universe are made.

*E-mail: miguel@iponet.es

†E-mail: jabelinchon@usa.net

1 Planck System.

Admittedly the Planck absolute system of units (P.A.S.U.) rests on the gravitational constant G , quantum of action $\hbar$, velocity of light c and Boltzmann's constant k_B . The units of length (Planck length l_p), of time (t_p), of mass (m_p) and the temperature can be expressed in terms of the selected universal constants as:

$$\begin{aligned} l_p &= \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}} \approx 10^{-34.7915} \text{ m} \\ t_p &= \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^5}} \approx 10^{-43.2684} \text{ s} \\ m_p &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \approx 10^{-7.6622} \text{ kg} \\ \theta_p &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5}{k_B^2 G}} \approx 10^{32.1514} \text{ K} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The meaning of these quantities is not yet quite settled, but there is no doubt about their importance. As Planck himself states:

" these quantities maintain their natural significance as long as the laws of gravitation, of propagations of light in vacuum and of both first and second laws of thermodynamics, remain valid. They must consequently always turn up the same, even when measured by most different intelligent beings with the most different methods "

A more modern insight allows for l_p two possible views: either that the nature of physical phenomena changes radically for lengths lower than l_p , or that l_p is the lowest limit of length what amounts to state that we cannot distinguish between points whose distance is lowest than l_p . Planck's time, t_p , is supposed to replace the original singularity in the very early universe(see [3]). Planck's mass, m_p , seems to represent a maximon, i.e. the final mass of a mini black hole submitted to Hawking's radiation(see [M]). Planck's temperature, Θ_p , can be interpreted as the temperature in the very early universe (big-bang) with pressure predominance.

Currently Planck's charge is calculated as a relation among the constants $G, c, \hbar$ what corresponds to a mutilated base (see [6]) $\{L, M, T\}$ and the expression

$$q_p = \sqrt{\hbar c} \tag{2}$$

is unavoidably obtained. That result is in our view, untenable, since the dimensions of electrical charge cannot be reducible to mechanical ones. This

is one of the reasons that we have considered to propose an enlargement of Plack's system. A further enlargement derives from the fact that force and rate of change of linear momentum are different quantities. These features justify, in our view, the enlargement of Planck System that follows.

2 Enlargement of the System.

Our first step is adding to the constans sellected by Planck ($G, c, \hbar, k_B$) the **dynamical constant** Γ , already considered by Bridgman in another context (see [1])

$$\vec{F} = \Gamma \frac{d(m \vec{v})}{dt} \quad (3)$$

Γ relates inertial force to the rate of change of linear moment, and parallels the expresion for gravitational force $F = G \frac{mm'}{r^2}$, that justifies the presence of G . In our opinion the main reason for the general acceptance of G as a universal constant, derives from the fact that making use of conventional units, its numerical value is very small. This is not "a priori" the case with Γ that has been, in our view improperly excluded. Its inclusion increases the $\{L, M, T\}$ base to $\{L, M, T, F\}$ where F stands for dimensions of force. In this base the proposed universal constant, Γ , acquire the dimensions

$$[\Gamma] = FM^{-1}L^{-1}T^2 \quad (4)$$

In this enlarged system the units of length, time, mass and temperature stated in (1) become:

$$\begin{aligned} l'_p &= \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{\Gamma c^3}} = \Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot l_p \\ t'_p &= \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{\Gamma c^5}} = \Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot t_p \\ m'_p &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c \Gamma}{G}} = \Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot m_p \\ \theta'_p &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5 \Gamma}{G}} = \Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \theta_p \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In order to give an adequate treatment to electric force we must replace the old Culomb's law in a vacuum

$$F = \frac{qq'}{r^2} \quad by \quad F = \frac{qq'}{\epsilon'_0 r^2} \quad (6)$$

Where $\epsilon'_0 = 4\pi\epsilon_0$, being ϵ_0 the **permittivity of the vacuum** in a rationalized form. Dimensionally $[\epsilon'^{-1}_0 q_p^2] = L^2 F$. Eq. (6) strictly applies only

to stationary charges, but, dimensionally, nothing changes if, invoking the special theory of relativity, we replace it by

$$\vec{f} = \frac{1}{\epsilon'_0 c^2} \frac{(q' \vec{v}) \wedge (q \vec{v})}{r^2} \quad (7)$$

The expression for Planck's charge, q_p , can be obtained through the following dimensional matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} & G & \Gamma & \hbar & c & \epsilon'^{-1}_0 q_p^2 \\ L & 2 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ M & -2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ T & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ F & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

giving a single non-dimensional product π_1 that leads to

$$q_p = \sqrt{\Gamma \epsilon'_0 \hbar c} \quad (9)$$

Since Γ has not yet been numerically defined, we are free to make the best selection for the value of q_p . In our view the most advantageous and simple hypothesis is to assimilate Planck's charge to the electron charge ($e^- = 10^{-18.795289}C$). Then:

$$\Gamma = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon'_0 c \hbar} = \frac{e^2}{2ch\epsilon_0} \equiv \alpha \quad (\text{fine-structure const.}) \quad (10)$$

This completes the enlargement.

3 Consequences of the proposed enlargement.

3.1 The constants to be considered as units.

The constants to be considered as units in the enlarged system are admittedly $\{G, c, \hbar, k_B\}$ and now also $\{\Gamma = \alpha, e, \epsilon_0, \mu'_0 = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\}$. All of them will acquire the value unity as results from (10) and from the relation

$$\epsilon_0 \mu_0 = \frac{1}{c^2} = \epsilon'_0 \mu'_0 \quad (11)$$

3.2 Numerical values.

After eliminations of $\Gamma = \alpha$ between (10) and (5) taking account of (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
l'_p &= \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{\Gamma c^3}} = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar^2 \epsilon'_0}{e^2 c^3}} \approx 10^{-33.72313} \text{ m} \\
t'_p &= \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{\Gamma c^5}} = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar^2 \epsilon'_0}{e^2 c^4}} \approx 10^{-42.19995} \text{ s} \\
m'_p &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c \Gamma}{G}} = \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{G \epsilon'_0}} \approx 10^{-8.730614} \text{ kg} \\
k_B \theta'_p &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5 \Gamma}{G}} = \sqrt{\frac{e^2 c^4}{G \epsilon'_0}} \approx 10^{31.08294} \text{ K} \\
E'_p &= m'_p c^2 = \frac{e c^2}{\sqrt{G \epsilon'_0}} \approx 10^{8.22303} \text{ J}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

All of this results have been calculated in the International System.

3.3 Temperature in a Friedman Robertson-Walker model.

Using E.P.S. the known expression of temperature in a FRW with pressure predominance is easily obtained:

$$\theta'_R \propto \theta'_p \left(\frac{t'_p}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{c^4 \hbar^2 e^2}{G \epsilon'_0 k_B^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \approx 10^{9.98297} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{13}$$

from (5) follows the relation

$$\theta_R \propto \theta_p \left(\frac{t_p}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\Gamma)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \theta'_R \tag{14}$$

3.4 Homogeneity and isotropy.

Commonly Planck volumen τ_p is taken as $\tau_p = l_p^3$. At the begining of this paper we considered l_p as the lowest limit of length what amounts to state that we cannot distinguish between points whose distance is lower than l_p . Consequently we ought to consider the volume of a sphere with diameter l_p as the lowest limit of Planck's volume. Then $\tau_p \geq \frac{\pi}{6} l_p^3$ and we can write $\tau'_p \geq b l_p'^3$ where $b \geq \frac{\pi}{6}$ remains to be calculated.

We define the Planck's energy density, in the E.P.S. as:

$$\rho'_p = \frac{k_B \theta'_p}{b \cdot (l'_p)^3} = \frac{c^5 e^4}{b \hbar^3 (\epsilon'_0 G)^2} \quad \frac{\pi}{6} \leq b \tag{15}$$

Admittedly (see[4]) in the very early universe the following expresion ought to be applied

$$\rho_R = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g(T) \frac{k_B^4}{\hbar^3 c^3} (\theta_p)^4 \quad (16)$$

where $g(T)$ represents spin degenerancy factor.

In the E.P.S. the last expresion becomes:

$$\rho'_R = \frac{\pi^2}{30} \frac{e^4 c^5}{\epsilon_0^2 \hbar^3 G^2} g(T) \quad (17)$$

In the case of thermal radiation, the particles will be only photons and then $g(T) = 2$. In such a case from (17) and (15) follows the ratio:

$$\frac{\rho'_R}{\rho'_p} = \frac{\pi^2 b}{15} \quad (18)$$

and the value $b = \frac{15}{\pi^2} > \frac{\pi}{6}$ means initial isotropy and homogeneity. This correspond to define the volume of Planck by

$$\tau_p = \frac{15}{\pi^2} (l'_p)^3 = 10^{0.18179} (l'_p)^3 \quad (19)$$

4 Remark

In this paper we have proposed an approach to a fruitful enlargement of Planck System. However we want to remark that most of the results may be quantitatively altered. We have selected the set of universal constant most generally considered as constituting Planck System $(G, c, \hbar, k_B)$. But it migh prove advisable to select h instead of $\hbar$, and/or $a = \frac{\pi^2}{15} \frac{k_B^4}{\hbar^3 c^3}$ (Boltzman constant) instead of k_B , to give only two examples among many possibles. The best fitting of the numerical results to their most probable values is perhaps the most suitable guideline.

References

- [1] **Bridgman,P.V.** Dimensional Analysis. New Haven Yale Univer. Press.1960

- [2] **Castañs, Manuel.** Ampliación del sistema de Planck. XXXIII GTAD
- [3] **Cohen-Tannoudji, G.** Les constantes universelles. Ed Hachette.1995
pag.114
- [4] **Kolb&Turner.** The early universe. Addison-Wesley.1990.
- [5] **Markov.** The problems of general relativity. In the proceeding of 2nd
Moscow Quantum Gravity (Ed Markov&West. Plenum 1984)
- [6] **Palacios, Julio.** Dimensional Analysis. Macmillan, London. 1964
- [7] **Taylor B.N.** Review of Physics.1996 Jul. pag 655.